


**BEFORE THE ORRISA INFORMATION COMMISSION
BHUBANESWAR**

Present:- :- Hon'ble Sri D.N. Padhi, SCIC

Dated:- 7th September, 2006

Complaint Case No.184/2006



Sri Nata Kishore Mishra,
At/PO-Rangadhipa
Sundargarh District.....Complainant

-Vrs-

Public Information Officer,
Sundargarh Forest Division
Sundargarh DistrictOpposite Party

Decision


1. Complainant and Opposite Party are present. Heard both sides in detail.
2. Shorn of unnecessary details, the fact are the Complainant sought for five information vide his application in Form 'A' dated 22/3/06 from the PIO (OP).
3. It reveals from the submissions of the parties that the information required by the Complainant has been provided to him by the PIO on 15/04/06, that is, within 30 days.
4. During hearing, the Complainant submits that he has only received two information out of five and thus it is incomplete. In reply the PIO(OP) submits that the information that were available in his office have been provided to the Complainant and if he is need of any further information, it is open to him to submit a fresh application. The Complainant is also


provided to the Complainant and if he is need of any further information, it is open to him to submit a fresh application. The Complainant is also willing to submit a fresh application specifically mentioning therein his need. In case a fresh application is filed, the PIO is advised to dispose of the same strictly in accordance with the provision of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. With the above observation the case of the Complainant is disposed of.

Pronounced

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this the 7th day of September, 2006.




Hon'ble SCIC
7.9.06