


BEFORE THE ORRISA INFORMATION COMMISSION
BHUBANESWAR

Present:- :- Hon'ble Sri D. N. Padhi, SCIC

Dated:- 7th September, 2006

Complaint Case No.175/2006



Sri Benudhar Senapati,
At-Seulasahi,
PO-Chanarpada,
Puri District.....Complainant

-Vrs-

1. Public Information Officer,
Nimapara Block,
Nimapara, Puri District.
2. Block Development Officer,
Nimapara,
Puri DistrictOpposite Parties

Decision


1. Complainant and the PIO are present. The 1st Appellate Authority, viz BDO Nimapara Block has informed vide letter dated 06/09/06 to be busy on flood duty. His absence is condoned in public interest. Heard both sides in detail.
2. The Complainant had submitted his application in form 'A' under section 6 (1) of the RTI Act 2005 (Act for short) with required fees on 28/11/05. Out of the three information sought for by him two were supplied to him on 27/12/05 which is within the time limit prescribed u/s 7 of the Act. As the Complainant could not get the remaining information he preferred First Appeal before the BDO on 10/01/06. He received the remaining information from the First Appellate Authority on 17/03/06. The 1st Appellate Authority is to dispose of the Appeal within 30 days according to the provision of section 19(6) of the Act but there has been a delay of about a month by the 1st Appellate Authority. The 1st Appellate Authority is hereby cautioned to implement the provisions of the Act in its letter and spirit and he

will check against recurrence of such delay in future. No delay has been caused by the Applicant which he did within the time prescribed under the Act.

3. The State Commission having noticed that all the three information sought for by the Complainant have been provided to him by the PIO and the 1st Appellate Authority, decided that there is no necessity to prolong the case which is hereby disposed of.

Pronounced

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this the 7th day of September, 2006.


Hon'ble SCIC
7.9.06

