


BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION  
BHUBANESWAR

Present:.. Hon'ble Shri D.N. Padhi, SCIC

Date: 24th July, 2007

Second Appeal No. 202 /2006



Sri Ajay Kumar Nayak,  
At-Mansingh Bazar,  
PO-Motiganj  
Balasore-756003.....Appellant

-Vrs-

1 Public Information Officer,  
Finance Department,  
Government of Orissa,  
Secretariat, Bhubaneswar

2 First Appellate Authority-  
Finance Department,  
Government of Orissa,  
Secretariat, Bhubaneswar.....Respondents

Decision

1. Appellant Sri Ajay Kumar Nayak accompanied by Sri Bhagirathi Pradhan and PIO, Sri Bijoy Kumar Mohanty and First Appellate Authority, Dr. Ramakanta Mishra of Finance Department are present. Heard. Perused the case record.
2. The Appellant Sri Nayak had filed form A application dated 26.09.2006 with the PIO, Finance Department seeking (i) copy of the panel prepared and transmitted to the OPSC for recruitment to O.F.S-II by way of selection from 1998-2002 (year wise), (ii) candidates appointed during the period from 1998 to 2002 out of the aforesaid panel alongwith their detailed bio-data (iii) copy of proceedings of the D.P.C. for selection of the candidate for the period from 1998 to 2002 alongwith related file note sheets, (iv) certified copies of the C.C.R.s of the appointees during the aforesaid period.



3. In response thereto the PIO rejected the application on the ground that the information sought for by him was not traceable in the Finance Department as reported by the concerned Under Secretary in his letter no. 43220 dated 24.10.2006. This position was intimated to the Appellant vide letter no. 43999 dated 24.10.2006
4. Being aggrieved with the order of the PIO the appellant preferred First Appeal in form D on 06.11.2006 before Appropriate Authority. The latter intimated the Appellant vide letter no. 49343 dated 02.12.2006 that the information asked for are confidential as it includes personal data/CCRs of the other persons, the disclosure of which would adversely affect the interest of the other persons.
5. On the said order of the First Appellate Authority, the Appellant preferred Second Appeal before the State Commission on 26.11.2006.
6. The Appellant mentioned before the State Commission that he had received part information from the PIO, Finance Department vide letter no. 26042 dated 11.06.2007.
7. After hearing the parties, the State Commission directed the PIO to provide the copies of CCRs of the Appellant for the period under consideration (not the CCRs of other persons) and the of DPC proceedings to the Appellant within 7 days and report compliance to the State Commission through the Registrar.
8. With the aforesaid observation the case is closed subject to compliance of the above orders. As there is no delay in the initial response, no orders thereof.

**Pronounced**

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 24th day of July, 2007.

  
**Hon'ble SCIC**

24.7-07

Subst