

**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION
BHUBANESWAR**

Present:- Prof. Radhamohan, SIC

Dated: - 25th July, 2007

Second Appeal No 17/2007



Shri S. Krishna Rao,
Constable No.196,
Reserve Office,
Koraput

Present Address:-Residing at Police Line
Near Koraput Sadar,
PS-Koraput
Koraput District.....Appellant

-Vrs-

1. Public Information Officer
cum-Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Koraput,
Koraput District
2. First Appellate Authority,
cum- Superintendent of Police,
Koraput,
Koraput District.....Respondents

Decision

1. PIO is absent. Neither there is any prayer for adjournment nor for leave of absence by him. This is a matter of concern. The Appellant is absent but his absence is condoned under Rule 9 (2) of Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. However,

Shri Bihuti Bhusan Panda, Reserve Inspector, Koraput Headquarters is present. Heard and perused case record.

2. The case was last heard on 16/05/07 and in its order the State Commission directed the Reserve Inspector, Koraput Headquarters to take steps to discover the representations of the Appellant and submit the same before the State Commission through the Registrar on 26/06/07 and Deputy Superintendent of Police-PIO, Koraput, Office of the Superintendent of Police, Koraput was directed to help discover the representations.
3. Shri Panda, Reserve Inspector, Koraput submits that it is a fact that Shri S. Krishna Rao submitted three representations to him. But Shri Rao was advised by him to put up the representations in triplicate and he returned the applications to Shri Rao. Thereafter Shri Rao has never submitted his representations dated 12/01/07, 15/03/05 and 07/04/06. Shri Panda further submits that on the same subject he has filed representations subsequently and they have been forwarded to the Directorate General and Inspector General of Police for necessary action.
4. Considering the above submissions the State Commission direct Shri Panda, Reserve Inspector, Koraput Headquarters to file an affidavit to this effect that while representations from Shri Rao were received by him, he could not forward them to the appropriate authority for necessary action because Shri Rao has not submitted the applications in triplicate and therefore he advised Shri Rao to submit the

applications in triplicate and returned all representation to him. Thereafter he has never submitted those representations.

5. He will submit an affidavit within 10 days to the State Commission through the Registrar. The Appellant is directed to submit fresh representations in triplicate to the Reserve Inspector, Koraput for necessary action, if he is interested to pursue the matter. Subject to submission of affidavit the case is closed.

Pronounced

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this the 25th day of July 2007.



Pushyag

SIC

Decision

1. PIO is absent. Neither there is any prayer for adjournment nor for leave of absence by him. This is a matter of concern. The Appellant is absent but his absence is condoned under Rule 9 (2) of Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2005. However:

Pushyag