

BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION

BHUBANESWAR

Present: Hon'ble Shri D.N.Padhi, SCIC

Date: 20th January, 2009

Complaint Case No.737/2007



Pramod Kumar Nanda,
Nanda Research Laboratory,
At/PO-Badapari-752023,
Khurda district.....Complainant

-Vrs-

1. Public Information Officer,
Office of the Block Development Officer,
Khandapara,
Nayagarh district
2. First Appellate Authority,
Office of the Block Development Officer,
Khandapara,
Nayagarh district.....Opposite Parties

Decision


1. Complainant Pramod Kumar Nanda is absent on repeated calls. The absence of the Complainant is condoned under Rule 9(2) of the Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2006. Kishor Chanrdra Swain, Junior Clerk-cum- Dealing Assistant, Khandapara Block, Nayagarh district-cum-authorized representative is present. Considering the fact of illness of the PIO, the presence of his authorized representative is accepted. Heard and perused the case record. This case arises out of complaint petition dated 06.06.2007.
2. On 27.6.2008 when this matter was last heard, it was seen from the record that Complainant's form A application dtd. 29/31.03.2007 sent by registered post was received by the PIO on 5.4.2007. On that day, the Complainant had alleged that he had not received any information from the PIO. The latter had refuted the said allegation by stating that he had responded to the request of the Complainant vide letter no. 475 dated 13.4.2007 and had furnished all information pointwise. He had further stated that the Complainant refused to receive the said letter.

On the directions of the State Commission the PIO handed over a copy of the same, free of cost, during the hearing on that day. After perusing the copy of the letter, the Complainant had submitted that the information supplied were incomplete. The PIO, understanding the actual requirement promised to furnish the remaining information to the Complainant. The State Commission directed the PIO to furnish the balance information within a week i.e by 5.7.2008 and produce the Issue Register on the next date of hearing to prove his assertion that he had issued the letter no.475 dated 13.4.07.

3. The representative of the PIO stated today that all balance information had been supplied to the Complainant as per the above kind orders dated 27.06.2008 of the State Commission. He produced the Issue Register for verification of the fact of issuance of letter no.475 dtd. 13.4.2007. On verification of the Register, it was seen that the said letter was duly issued by the PIO to the Complainant. Hence there is no delay visible in the case.
4. As there is no residual issue for consideration and the Complainant had received the required information which he had sought for in his form A application, the case is disposed of and closed with no orders on penalty. Inform the Complainant.

Pronounced in open proceedings

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 20th day of January, 2009.


SCIC
20-1-09