



**Complaint Case No. 3/2006**

Sri Sushil Kumar Naik and 10 others,  
D.P.G. Deogarh  
c/o Bijay Muduli  
vill: Mahuldhipasahi  
Ward No. 11  
Deogarh District..... Complainant

-Vrs-

Public Information Officer,  
Palahada Block  
Dist: Anugul..... Opposite Party

SL No.	Date of decision	Decision with the signature of the State Commission	Action taken with date
1	<u>20/06/2006</u>	<p>1. Perused the complaint petition dated 07/02/06 filed by Sri Sushil Kumar Naik and 10 others. The violation alleged in the complaint petition relates to the provisions of Section 4 of Right to Information Act, 2005 dealing with the obligation of the Public Authority about voluntary disclosure of certain information. The above can only be monitored by the State Commission u/S 25 (5) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 by making a recommendation specifying the steps that ought to be taken by the Opposite Party. Therefore, the above will be taken care of in the Administrative wing.</p> <p>2. As the complaint petition does not come within the legal purview of Section 18 of Right to Information Act, 2005, the same is rejected.</p> <p>Inform the Complainant</p> <p> <b>Hon'ble SCIC</b> 20.6.06</p> <p> Anand Kumar SIC 20.6.06</p>	