

**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION  
BHUBANESWAR**

**Present: Shri Jagadananda, SIC**

**Date: 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2008**

**Complaint Case No. 986 / 2007**



Baya Bhagirathi Dash,  
Sr. Assistant,  
Office of the Engineer-in-Chief,  
Water Resources, Orissa,  
Sechasadan (Behind Secretariat Building),  
Bhubaneswar.....Complainant

**-Vrs-**

1. Public Information Officer  
ST and SC Development Department,  
Government of Orissa,  
Secretariat,  
Bhubaneswar.
2. First Appellate Authority,  
ST and SC Development Department,  
Government of Orissa,  
Secretariat,  
Bhubaneswar.....Opposite Parties

**Decision**

1. Complainant Shri Baya Bhagirathi Dash is present. Harischandra Rout, Assistant Director-cum-PIO and Biswajit Misra, Additional Secretary-cum-First Appellate Authority, SC and ST Development Department, Government of Orissa, Bhubaneswar are present. Heard. Perused the case record.

2. The Appellant sought for certain information from the PIO, ST and SC Development Department, Government of Orissa, Bhubaneswar in form A dated 22.05.07. The Appellant was informed to deposit the cost of the information which he complied with on 07/06/07 and information supplied to him the same day. Not being satisfied with the information content the Appellant filed first appeal dated 18.06.07 before the First Appellate Authority and on his intervention further information was supplied on 11<sup>th</sup> July 2007. Being aggrieved with the orders of the First Appellate Authority, the Applicant approached the State Commission for redressal on 12/07/07.
3. During the course of proceeding at the State Commission the First Appellate Authority of ST and SC Development Department mentioned that the alleged incomplete information by the Complainant is nothing but some doubts which had subsequently arisen in the mind of the Complainant. All the available information has been provided to the Complainant on time in compliance with the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (Act for short).
4. The Complainant confirmed during the course of hearing that he has received all the information as per his form A application and some of his doubts have been subsequently clarified by the PIO. Therefore, he has no further grievance.

5. After hearing both parties, it seems there is no further issue to be adjudicated in this case. Accordingly, the case is closed and disposed of.

Present: Smt Jagatansu, SIC

Date: 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2008

**Pronounced in open proceedings.**

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2008.



*Jyoti*  
**SIC** 20/8/08