

**BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION  
BHUBANESWAR**

**Present: Hon'ble Shri D.N.Padhi, SCIC**

**and**

**Sri Jagadananda, SIC**

**Date: 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2008**

**Complaint Case No. 48/2007**



Basudev Bhoi,  
At/PO-Bhaleswar,  
Nuapada district.....Complainant

**-Vrs-**

Public Information Officer,  
Office of the District Inspector of Schools,  
Nuapada district.....Opposite Party

**Decision**

1. Complainant Basudev Bhoi is present. Akshaya Kumar Sahoo, ex-PIO of the Public Authority is present with an authorization of the PIO vide letter no. 5626 dated 06/07/08. Perused the said letter and appearance of the above ex-PIO is accepted as it was felt that his presence would be more useful as it related to his tenure as the PIO. Heard both parties and perused the case record. The matter arises out of complaint petition dated 18/01/07.
2. This case was earlier heard by the State Commission on several dates viz. 11/09/07, 08/01/08 and 24/06/08. The Complainant, in form A application dated 16/11/06 ( application fee deposited on 20/11/06) had sought information on 7 items relating to non-sanction of his final pension. On 11/09/07, the State Commission had directed the PIO to submit the final pension papers of the Complainant to the Controller of Accounts, Bhubaneswar within 15 days and report compliance to

the State Commission through the Registrar. ( The Complainant is in receipt of provisional pension)

3. In obedience to the said direction dated 11/09/07, the District Inspector of Schools, Nuapada in his letter no. 5624 dated 02/09/08 sent the original service book, CVP, Form-2 and medical certificate of Basudev Bhoi to the Controller of Accounts, Orissa, Bhubaneswar for necessary action.
4. It reveals from the order dated 11/09/07 that form A application is dated 20/11/06 and the PIO had intimated in form B on 25/11/06 ( within 5 days) but the Complainant deposited the cost of information on 14/12/06. The PIO instead of rejecting the request for information as per Rule 4 (3) of the Orissa Right to Information Rules, 2005 had provided the information to the Complainant vide letter no. 4 dated 12/01/07. Hence, the PIO has not violated any of the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. On the contrary, he facilitated supply of information. There is no delay in this matter.
5. Hence the case is disposed of and closed.

**Pronounced in open proceedings.**

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 9<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2008.

  
**SCIC**

9. 9. 08

  
**SIC**

9/09/08

272