

BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION

BHUBANESWAR

Present: Shri Jagadananda, SIC

Date: 03rd March, 2009

Complaint Case No. 996/2007



Narendra Kumar Sahoo,
At/PO-Rasulgarh,
Bhubaneswar-751010.....Complainant

-Vrs-

Public Information Officer,
Office of the Tahasildar,
At/PO- Bhubaneswar
Khurda District..... Opposite Party

Decision

1. Complainant Narendra Kumar Sahoo is absent. The same cannot be condoned under Rule 9(2) of the Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2006 in view of previous order dated 27/08/08. Pabitra Mohan Dash, Additional Tahasildar-cum-PIO, Tahasil Office, Bhubaneswar is present. Heard the PIO and perused the case record. The case was earlier heard on 27.8.08 and 14.11.08 and arises out of complaint petition dated 12/07/07.
2. It reveals from the record that the Complainant filed form A application on 21.05.07 and sought information on the position of OLR cases. During earlier hearing the PIO stated that the required information has been furnished to the Complainant vide letter no-543 dated 22/06/07. Since the Complainant had filed a complaint petition on 12/07/07, reasonable doubt

was entertained by the State Commission and the PIO was directed to produce the despatch and related records for actual delivery of information. As the Complainant was absent it was not possible to ascertain the factual confirmation.

3. Today the PIO has produced all relevant records and as it appears the letter containing information had been issued under certificate of posting and the documents produced are taken to record. The PIO further submitted that the R-O-R copy have been prepared and furnished to the Complainant. The Complainant has remained absent all through out the hearing process inspite of notice. Perhaps, he has nothing to agitate in this case. Hence the case is disposed of and closed.

Pronounced in open proceedings

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 03rd day of March, 2009.



Pradyumn
3/3/09
SIC