

BEFORE THE ORISSA INFORMATION COMMISSION  
BHUBANESWAR



Present: Hon'ble Shri D.N. Padhi, SCIC

Date 3<sup>rd</sup> June, 2009

Complaint Case No.1729/2007

Ram Abtar Agrawal,  
At/P.O: Ladugaon,  
Koksara,  
Kalahandi district.....Complainant

-Vrs-

The Public Information Officer,  
Office of the Tahasildar, Jaipatna,  
Kalahandi district.....Opposite Party

Decision

1. Complainant Ram Abtar Agrawal is absent. The same is condoned under Rule 9 (2) of the Orissa Information Commission (Appeal Procedure) Rules, 2006. Dhanakar Sabar, Head Clerk-cum- PIO, office of the Tahasildar, Jaipatna, Kalahandi district is present. Heard him and perused the case record. This case matter arises out of complaint petition dated 15/11/2007 under section 18 (1) (c) of the RTI Act, 2005.
2. Complainant had filed form A application dated 21/4/2007 with the PIO, office of the Tahasildar, Jaipatna, Kalahandi district seeking information relating to landed property of 32 villagers of Ladugaon Gram Panchayat and had further requested to send the information through speedpost. The PIO stated that he prepared the required information in due time, but was unable to send the same as there was no speedpost facility in Jaipatna area.
3. As the Complainant did not receive any communication from the PIO, he preferred an appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13/6/2007. The First Appellate Authority disposed of the appeal petition on


18/7/2007 and all required information were sent to the Complainant vide letter no.5551 dated 18/7/2007 through a special messenger. But the same could not be served on time due to the absence of the Complainant at his home. However, the messenger was able to give the aforesaid letter to the Complainant on 5/8/2007, on proper acknowledgement.

4. During course of hearing today the PIO submitted corroboratory evidence with copies of documents.
5. As the Complainant has already received the required information under due acknowledgment and there was no malafide on the part of the PIO in dealing with the RTI application, the State Commission decided to dispose of and close this case with no orders of penalty. The PIO is exonerated. Inform the Complainant.

**Pronounced in open proceedings**

Given under the hand and seal of the State Commission, this 3rd day of June, 2009.



  
SCIC  
3-6-09